



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,142	02/19/2002	Juan Amengual	47133.010100	6466
54353	7590	03/07/2006	EXAMINER	
MANUEL VALCACEL c/o GREENBERG TRAUIG, P.A. 1221 BRICKELL AVENUE MIAMI, FL 33131			DANIELS MENDEZ, PHYLLIS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/078,142	AMENGUAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phyllis A. Daniels-Mendez	3629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Spear** (US Patent # 6,853,621).

For claim 1: A customizable computerized system for providing access to specified Internet websites and comparable alternative websites utilizing telephone numbers as search queries, comprising:

means for receiving a system user search query for a specified Internet website in the form of a telephone number corresponding to said website (**Column 24, Lines 43-45**)

means for processing said user search query to provide access to said specified Internet website in response to said query (**Column 3, Lines 41-43**); and

means for providing access to additional Internet websites that are comparable alternatives to said specified Internet website, said additional Internet websites being selected based on customizable criteria (**Column 24, Lines 50-53/ Column 25, Lines 8-10**).

For claim 2: The system of claim 1, further comprising means for processing changes to Internet website addresses such that access to said websites is provided in

response to user queries using either a prior address or a changed address (**Column 12, Lines 62-64/ Column 13, Lines 7-9**). The Examiner will interpret this claim as changes being made to the database

For claim 3: The system of claim 1, further comprising means for displaying to users content from said Internet websites in a standardized format (**Column 18, Lines 1-4**).

For claim 4: The system of claim 1, wherein said customizable criteria are provided by electronic communication from said user (**Column 23, Lines 8-9**).

For claim 5: The system of claim 1, wherein said customizable criteria are preprogrammed into said system. The Examiner is interpreting the claim as follows: In order for the customizable criteria to be preprogrammed into the system the GUI had to be programmed (**Column 9, Lines 62-63**).

For claim 6: The system of claim 1, wherein said means for providing access to additional Internet websites that are comparable alternatives to said specified Internet website based on customizable criteria comprises (**Column 24, Lines 50-53/ Column 25, Lines 8-10**):

one or more databases containing data regarding characteristics of a plurality of Internet websites and one or more software applications that select said additional websites based on comparison of data pertaining to said specified website and

data pertaining to said additional websites (**Column 25, Lines 7-9**).

For claim 7: The system of claim 6, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the geographic location of the proprietors of the websites being compared (**Column 18, Lines 44-47**).

For claim 8: The system of claim 6, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the products or services offered by the websites being compared (**Column 23, Lines 34-36**).

For claim 9: The system of claim 6, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the prices for products or services offered by the websites being compared (**Column 24, Lines 51-52, 60**).

For claim 10: The system of claim 6, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the type of content provided by the websites being compared (**Column 25, Lines 28-29**).

For claim 11: The system of claim 6, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the date of publication of content provided by the websites being compared. The Examiner is interpreting this claim as the rate tables are being updated (Table 1) **(Column 14, Lines 31-35)**.

For claim 12: The system of claim 1, further comprising means for receiving a system user search query for a specified electronic mail recipient in the form of a telephone number corresponding to said recipient **(Column 26, Lines 1-3)**;

means for processing said user search query to provide information pertaining to said recipient **(Column 3, Lines 41-46/ Column 26, Lines 7-9)**; and

means for sending electronic mail to said recipient **(Column 26, Line 7)**.

For claim 13: The system of claim 12, wherein said means for sending electronic mail to said recipient further comprises means for sending said electronic mail to multiple alternate electronic mail addresses corresponding to said recipient, said alternate electronic mail addresses being selected based on customizable criteria. The Examiner is interpreting the claim to mean that the contact information will be able to be added to, deleted and moved **(Column 26, Lines 11-13)**.

For claim 14: A method for providing access to specified Internet websites and comparable alternative websites utilizing telephone numbers as search queries, comprising the following steps:

receiving a system user search query for a specified Internet website in the form of a telephone number corresponding to said website (**Column 24, Lines 43-45**);

processing said user search query to provide access to said specified Internet website in response to said query (**Column 3, Lines 41-43**);

selecting additional Internet websites that are comparable alternatives to said specified Internet website based on customizable criteria; and providing access to said additional Internet websites (**Column 24, Lines 50-53/ Column 25, Lines 8-10**).

For claim 15: A customizable computerized system for providing access to specified Internet websites and comparable alternative websites, comprising:

means for receiving a system user search query for a specified Internet website (**Column 24, Lines 43-45**);

means for processing said user search query to provide access to said specified Internet website in response to said query (**Column 3, Lines 41-43**); and

means for providing access to additional Internet websites that are comparable alternatives to said specified Internet website, said additional Internet websites being selected based on customizable criteria (**Column 24, Lines 50-53/ Column 25, Lines 8-10**).

For claim 16: The system of claim 15, wherein search user query is in the form of a Domain Name. The domain name and host names identify the host computer where the Internet should deliver the e-mail (**Column 26, Lines 3-5/ Column 26, Lines 8-9**).

For claim 17: The system of claim 15, further comprising means for processing changes to Internet website addresses such that access to said websites is provided in response to user queries using either a prior address or a changed address (**Column 12, Lines 62-64/ Column 13, Lines 7-9**).

For claim 18: The system of claim 15, further comprising means for displaying to users content from said Internet websites in a standardized format (**Column 18, Lines 1-4**).

For claim 19: The system of claim 15, wherein said customizable criteria are provided by electronic communication from said user (**Column 23, Lines 8-9**).

For claim 20: The system of claim 15, wherein said customizable criteria are pre-programmed into said system (**Column 9, Lines 62-63**).

For claim 21: The system of claim 15, wherein said means for providing access to additional Internet websites that are comparable alternatives to said specified Internet website based on customizable criteria comprises (**Column 24, Lines 50-53**):

one or more databases containing data regarding characteristics of a plurality of Internet websites and (**Column 14, Lines 13-15**)

one or more software applications that select said additional websites based on comparison of data pertaining to said specified website and data pertaining to said additional websites (**Column 25, Lines 7-9**).

For claim 22: The system of claim 21, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the geographic location of the proprietors of the websites being compared (**Column 18, Lines 44-47**).

For claim 23: The system of claim 21, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the products or services offered by the websites being compared (**Column 23, Lines 34-36**).

For claim 24: The system of claim 21, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the prices for products or services offered by the websites being compared (**Column 24, Lines 51-52, 60**).

For claim 25: The system of claim 21, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the type of content provided by the websites being compared (**Column 25, Lines 28-29**).

For claim 26: The system of claim 21, wherein said one or more software applications select additional websites based on comparison of information regarding the date of

WDM

Application/Control Number: 10/078,142

Page 9

Art Unit: 3629

publication of content provided by the websites being compared (**Column 14, Lines 31-35**).


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phyllis A. Daniels-Mendez whose telephone number is 571-272-7657. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PDM


JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600